

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington DC 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10 073,796	02 11 2002	Donald L. Schilling	1-2-74.5US	9004	
24374	7590 08 29 2002				
VOLPE AND KOENIG, PC			EXAMINER		
	ONE PENN CENTER		FRANKLIN, JAM	FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA	
1617 JOHN F. KENNEDY BOULEVARD PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		ARD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2876		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Į ^v
	10/073,796	SCHILLING, DONAL	LD L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jamara A. Franklin	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by strong and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 3. 3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncondition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withered is a property of the same allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a learn the statutory minimum of the reply within the statutory minimum	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). If timely filed, may reduce any atters, prosecution as to the	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an Application Papers	nd/or election requirement.		
9)⊡ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		tage
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary, PTO-413: Paper Nors	
	*. *. 		
PTO-326 (Re. 1/4 01 Office	ce Action Summary	₽ _{att} + F	Papertic 4

Application/Control Number: 10/073,796

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 5 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claim 5, line 1, substitute "fo" with -- of-- and

in claim 11, line 8, substitute "he" with --the--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-7, 10-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bishop et al. (US 4,965,821) (hereinafter referred to as 'Bishop').

Bishop teaches an automobile 12 equipped with a charge card cellular mobile radiotelephone (CMR) 16. A radio frequency transmission between an antenna 18 installed on an automobile 12 and a cellular base station antenna 20 electromagnetically couples a CMR 16 to cellular base station 22. A card reader 234 reads data, including personal identification information, stored on a charge pard 236 to part for rental charges of the automobile 12 (13), 1

Art Unit: 2876

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 8, 9, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bishop in view of Takizawa (US 5,046,125). The teachings of Bishop have been discussed above.

Bishop lacks the teaching of the personal access number of the radio unit changing in response to a received signal after the user is permitted to engage in the local transaction.

Takizawa teaches a method wherein a first lock code is immediately replaced with a second lock code to inhibit an unauthorized person from unlocking the equipment (col. 4, lines 24-32).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized that changing the personal access number would have been beneficial for added security which would have kept the radio unit from being fraudulently used. Therefore, it would have been obvious, at the time the invention was made, to modify the teachings of Bishop with the aforementioned teachings of Takizawa.

Art Unit: 2876

Griffith (US 6,356,752) teaches a wireless telephone as a transaction device.

Vatanen (US 6,169,890) teaches a mobile telephone system and method for carrying out financial transactions using a mobile telephone system.

Partridge, III (US 5,608,778) teaches a cellular telephone as an authenticated transaction controller.

Zicker et al. (US 5,144,649) teach a cellular radiotelephone credit card paystation method.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamara A. Franklin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0128. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (703) 305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

lamara A. Franklin

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

